

## The Big Sky Country

## MONTANA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## SPONSOR'S REBUTTAL TO FISCAL NOTE

| House Bill Number: HB 28   | <b>Date Requested:</b> (4 day turnaround per Joint Rule 40-110)  |
|--|--|
| Short Title: Clarify timelines and opening pro   | cedures for public charter schools.  |
| Sponsor: David Bedey   | Fiscal Note version: Original Revised  |
| Generally, why do you disagree with  | the fiscal note?   |
| The number of charter school districts assumed to be open is inflated. This in turn leads to an overestimate of the net impact on the general fund.  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| ·  | <u> </u>   |
| Specifically, what in the fiscal note do you feel is flawed?   |  |
| The fiscal note assumes (assumption 9) that four p 2026. In fact, zero districts will be created in FY 20 Public Education on January 24, 2025, at which time that had been put forward for consideration. This was program. In the first round, which occurred a year considered. Based on these two data points, it migoperation in FY 2027. | 026, based on decisions made by the Board of the Board disapproved the single application was the second round of applications for this ago, no charter district applications were |
| What is your estimate of the fiscal impact?  |  |
| FY 2026: zero<br>FY 2027: \$427,233, assuming one district is appro-   | oved during the next application window.   |
| Sponsor Signature:   | H Deley  |