

Fiscal Note 2027 Biennium

Bill#/Title: HB0452.01:	Generally revise penalti	ies for assaults a	ngainst utility worke	ers		
Primary Sponsor: Gary Parry	Ų.	Status:	As Introduced			
☐ Included in the Executive Budget	☐ Needs to be included	☐ Needs to be included in HB 2 ☐ Significant Local Gov Impact		ov Impact		
☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts	☐ Technical Concerns	☐ Technical Concerns		☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached		
	FISCAL SU	MMARY				
	FY 2026 Difference	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference		
Expenditures	~~~~~~~					
General Fund (01)	\$33,580	\$33,580	\$34,084	\$34,595		
Revenues						
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Net Impact	(\$33,580)	(\$33,580)	(\$34,084)	(\$34,595)		
General Fund Balance						

Description of fiscal impact

HB 452 creates a new cause of action specifically for assault on an employee of a public utility. Most offenses committed under this statute could be charged under an existing statute. The exception to this is when an offender causes "serious bodily injury to a public utility employee." The offense for this specific instance changes from a misdemeanor to a felony and carries penalties starting at up to 8 years in a state prison and/or a fine not to exceed \$50,000. For purposes of this fiscal note it is assumed that one person would be convicted and sentenced under this new offence during the timeframe of this fiscal note.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions

Department of Corrections

- 1. HB 452 creates a new cause of action for assault on an employee of a public utility. The majority of offenses that could be charged under this statute are likely already being charged under existing assault statutes. The exception is when an offender causes "bodily injury to a public utility employee." Currently this constitutes misdemeanor assault (45-5-201(1)(a)), but HB 452 would make this a felony offense, which carries penalties starting at up to 8 years in a state prison and/or a fine not to exceed \$50,000.
- 2. The department assumes one conviction of "bodily injury to a public utility employee" during the timeframe of the fiscal note, resulting in one additional incarceration.
- 3. The individual could be represented by private council or a public defender.
- 4. The department assumes the sentence will last the duration of the time period reflected on the fiscal note.
- 5. Assuming a start date of July 1, 2025, in FY 2026 and FY 2027 the department would see a fiscal impact of \$33,580 (\$92/day x 365 days x 1 offender). An inflationary factor of 1.5% has been applied for FY 2028 and FY 2029.

Office of Public Defender (OPD)

- 6. OPD assumes that this new felony offense would largely overlap with the existing the felony offenses of Assault with a Weapon and Aggravated Assault, resulting in no additional cost to OPD.
- 7. OPD assumes that the only exception to this overlap would be that Assault on an Employee of a Public Utility would authorize a felony sentence for causing non-serious bodily injury to an employee of a public utility without use of a weapon, conduct that presently constitutes misdemeanor Assault under MCA 45-5-201(1)(d).
- 8. OPD assumes that increasing such non-weapon, non-serious bodily injury assaults from misdemeanor charges to felony charges would result in an additional 13 case weight hours per new felony case.
- Because OPD's FTE staff is already working at capacity, OPD assumes any increase in cases to which OPD is appointed would be represented by contract public defenders.
- 10. PD assumes the cost of representation by contract public defenders would be \$97.50 per hour (\$90 per hour professional services rate plus average travel expenses of \$7.50 per case hour).
- 11. OPD assumes the total impact of this bill on OPD would be the number of new felony assault cases filed (unknown) times 13 hours per case times \$97.50 per hour.
- 12. Unfortunately, OPD has no data tracking whether assault conduct is against employees of public utilities and, thus, can make no assumptions regarding how many current misdemeanor assault cases would instead be brought as felony charges of Assault on an Employee of a Public Utility.
- 13. OPD, therefore, assumes no fiscal impact at this time.

Fiscal Analysis Table

Department of Corrections				
	FY 2026 Difference	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference
Fiscal Impact				
Expenditures				
Operating Expenses	\$33,580	\$33,580	\$34,084	\$34,595
TOTAL Expenditures	\$33,580	\$33,580	\$34,084	\$34,595
Funding of Expenditures				
General Fund (01)	\$33,580	\$33,580	\$34,084	\$34,595
TOTAL Funding of Expenditures	\$33,580	\$33,580	\$34,084	\$34,595
Revenues				
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Re	venue minus Funding	of Expenditures)		
General Fund (01)	(\$33,580)	(\$33,580)	(\$34,084)	(\$34,595)

Sponsor's Initials

7/17/25 Date Budget Director's Initials

2/17/2025 Date