

Fiscal Note 2027 Biennium

Bill#/Title:	HB0657.01: Allow municipalities to review certain water and sewer systems					
Primary Sponsor:	Steve Gist		Status:	As Introduced		
☐ Included in the Executive Budget		☐ Needs to be included in HB 2		☑ Significant Local Goy Impact		
☑ Significant Long-Term Impacts		☐ Technical Concerns		☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached		
		FISCAL SU	JMMARY			
		FY 2026 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference	
Expenditures		**************************************				
General Fund (01)		\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	
State Special Revenue (02)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Federal Special F	Revenue (03)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Revenues						
General Fund (01)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
State Special Revenue (02)		(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	
Federal Special F	Revenue (03)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	
Net Impact		(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	
General Fund B	alance	(\$25,555,517)	(\$20,000,017)	(420,000,017)	(420,500,017)	

Description of fiscal impact

HB 657 would cause the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) to lose primacy over its Safe Drinking Water Act programs. DEQ would lose all associated federal funding related to the Safe Drinking Water Act, including the state revolving fund.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions

Department of Environmental Quality

- 1. DEQ will no longer have primacy for Safe Drinking Water Act programs, because HB 657 would remove the assurance that drinking water standards are being met by public water supplies.
- 2. HB 657 indicates that DEQ is still responsible for environmental regulation but risks the loss of both federal and state funding because without primacy, fees can't be collected.
- 3. DEQ used actual expenditures over the last 5.6 years, to calculate the estimated loss over the next two biennium.

Fiscal Analysis Table

Department of Environme	ental Quality			
	FY 2026 Difference	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference
Fiscal Impact				

(continued)

1				1
Expenditures				
Personal Services	\$4,293,349	\$4,293,349	\$4,293,349	\$4,293,349
Operating Expenses	\$8,974,139	\$8,974,139	\$8,974,139	\$8,974,139
Transfers	\$13,317,559	\$13,317,559	\$13,317,559	\$13,317,559
TOTAL Expenditures	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047
Funding of Expenditures				
General Fund (01)	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047
TOTAL Funding of	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047	\$26,585,047
Expenditures	=======================================			
Revenues				
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)
Federal Special Revenue (03)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)
TOTAL Revenues	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Re	venue minus Funding	of Expenditures)		
General Fund (01)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)	(\$26,585,047)
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)	(\$693,132)
Federal Special Revenue (03)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)	(\$25,891,915)

Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures

- 1. Loss of the state revolving fund will increase municipality expenses for public water systems because they can no longer get low-cost loans through the state revolving fund.
- 2. Some drinking water upgrade projects cannot be funded by other means.

Significant Long-Term Impacts

- 1. Federal and state special revenue funds would be lost due to DEQ losing primacy of Safe Drinking Water Act programs.
- 2. The State of Montana would be required to identify alternative funding for Safe Drinking Water projects.

		RO	3/5/2025	
Sponsor's Initials	Date	Budget Director's Initials	Date	