

# Fiscal Note 2027 Biennium

Bill#/Title:	SB0116: Revise membership	the Montana heri	itage preservati	ion and developm	ent commission
Primary Sponsor:	Tony Tezak		Status:	As Introduced	
☐ Included in the Executive Budget		□ Needs to be included in HB 2		☐ Significant Local Gov Impact	
☐ Significant Long-Term Impacts		☐ Technical Concerns		☐ Dedicated Revenue Form Attached	
1		FISCAL SU	MMARY		
		FY 2026 Difference	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 Difference	FY 2029 Difference
Expenditures					
State Special Re	venue (02)	(\$3,798)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)
Revenues	• •				
State Special Rev	venue (02)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact			\$0		\$0

#### Description of fiscal impact

**General Fund Balance** 

SB 116 reduces the required number of members of the Montana Heritage Preservation and Development Commission, and revises the administrative relationship between the commission and the Department of Commerce. There will be some fiscal impact as the reduced number of commission members will result in reduced travel and per diem costs.

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **Assumptions**

## **Department of Commerce**

- 1. Under current law, the Montana Heritage Preservation and Development Commission consists of 14 members. Section 1 of SB 116 reduces that number to nine. The reduced membership will result in a reduction in travel and per diem expenses associated with commission meetings.
- 2. Section 2 of the bill specifies the reduction in membership will take place as members term out and are not replaced. For the purpose of this fiscal note it is assumed three members will term out in FY 2025, and the remaining two in FY 2026.
- 3. Based on average mileage reimbursement, lodging cost, and per diem, it is estimated that reduced membership will reduce expenses by \$3,798 in FY 2026, and by \$6,330 in FY 2027 and subsequent years.
- 4. Section 1(4) revises the duties of the commission, and the duties of the Department of Commerce in relation to the commission. However, the change is within the scope of the centralized services currently offered by the department. There will be no fiscal impact as a result.

# Fiscal Analysis Table

	FY 2026 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2027 Difference	FY 2028 <u>Difference</u>	FY 2029 Difference				
Fiscal Impact								
<b>Expenditures</b>								
Personal Services	(\$1,200)	(\$2,000)	(\$2,000)	(\$2,000)				
Operating Expenses	(\$2,598)	(\$4,330)	(\$4,330)	(\$4,330)				
TOTAL Expenditures	(\$3,798)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)	(\$6,330)				
Funding of Expenditures State Special Revenue (02) TOTAL Funding of	(\$3,798) (\$3,798)	(\$6,330) (\$6,330)	(\$6,330) (\$6,330)	(\$6,330) (\$6,330)				
Expenditures	(\$3,796)	(\$0,330)	(\$0,330)	(\$0,330)				
Revenues								
Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures)								
State Special Revenue (02)	\$3,798	\$6,330	\$6,330	\$6,330				

Budget Director's Initials

1/20/2025

Date