



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

Fiscal Note 2027 Biennium

Bill#/Title: SB0097: Revise venue laws for cases challenging constitutionality of recent laws

Primary Sponsor: John Fuller Status: As Introduced

Included in the Executive Budget Needs to be included in HB 2 Significant Local Gov Impact

Significant Long-Term Impacts Technical Concerns Dedicated Revenue Form Attached

FISCAL SUMMARY

	<u>FY 2026 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2027 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2028 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2029 Difference</u>
Expenditures				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenues				
General Fund (01)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Net Impact	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
General Fund Balance	<u><u>\$0</u></u>	<u><u>\$0</u></u>	<u><u>\$0</u></u>	<u><u>\$0</u></u>

Description of fiscal impact

SB 97 moves the proper place of a trial for challenges to statutes or session law enacted within a legislative biennium to the county or district that the sponsor of that bill resides. There is no fiscal impact to the state from this bill since any costs can be absorbed within existing agency budgets.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

Assumptions

State Agencies


1. A state agency with a roll in any potential trials that have a change of venue related to SB 97 may incur some travel expenses, but these cannot be predicted and are not anticipated to be significant.

Judiciary

2. SB 97 allows a change in venue for cases involving a statute or session law that may be unconstitutional under a provision of the state or federal constitution.
3. The trial for the challenge could be held in a county that is wholly, or partially, within the legislative district of the primary sponsor of the bill that enacted, or amended, that statute or session law.
4. The change in venue would not fiscally impact the Judicial Branch.




Sponsor's Initials



Date



Budget Director's Initials



Date